EFA "Meet and Greet", 14 October 2014



Workshop: mobile Health

Claudia Prettner, Policy Officer, Unit for Health and Well-Being, DG CONNECT



Table of Contents

1.) Green Paper on mHealth

- > Definition
- > Market
- > Potential and barriers/issues
- > Next steps

2.) mHealth potential for allergies and respiratory diseases

3.) Safety aspects of mHealth apps



Green Paper on mHealth

- The Green Paper launched a broad consultation to collect ideas to unlock mHealth potential in Europe
- It is accompanied by a Staff Working Document on the EU legal framework applicable to lifestyle and wellbeing apps

Consultation closed on 10 July 2014 (3 months)



mHealth - definition

- mHealth medical and public health practice supported by mobile devices, such as mobile phones, patient monitoring devices, personal digital assistants (PDAs), and other wireless devices (WHO definition)
- Examples: disease self-management and remote monitoring devices; apps connecting to sensors measuring vital signals; tools offering fitness and dietary recommendations;



mHealth market

Image: Solution of the second sec

rapidly growing market





Source: GSMA and PwC, Touching lives through mobile health, February 2012



By 2017, **3.4 billion people** worldwide will own a smartphone 1/2 will use health apps \mathcal{N}













early diagnosis & better treatment

patients care for their own health & having healthier lifestyle

increased prevention



more efficient & sustainable healthcare



health professionals could **Save 30[%]** of their **time** spent on accessing & analysing information









lack of knowledge among mHealth manufacturers about the legal framework



data protection & trust



lack of interoperability between healthcare systems in the EU



safety of mHealth apps



European Commission



Identified issues and questions - I

> Data protection

 Which security safeguards could help to prevent unnecessary and unauthorised processing of health data in an mHealth context?

> Legal framework

 Are safety and performance requirements of lifestyle and wellbeing apps adequately covered by the current EU legal framework?



Identified issues and questions - II

> Patient safety

 Which policy action should be taken, if any, to ensure/verify the efficacy of mHealth solutions?

> Reimbursement

 Which mHealth services are reimbursed in the EU Member States you operate in and to what extent?



Outcome and next steps

211 responses received

- >71% from organisations
 - Most active countries: Belgium, UK, Germany & France notable participation from the US
- > 29% from individuals
 - Most active countries: Italy, Spain, UK, Germany
- Summary report November 2014
- Further actions announced in 2015



Potential for allergies/resp. diseases

An app...

- informing about air quality
- > warning about pollen level
- > providing information on allergens in food
- > to track and manage asthma



Safety aspects – "medical" apps

Medical Devices Directive:

- Covering apps that have a medical purpose
- > Safety and performance requirements
- Clinical evaluation
- Conformity assessment procedure
- CE marking



Safety aspects – "wellbeing" apps

- Legal vacuum currently no laws at EU level on safety aspects
 - General Products Safety Directive and Liability for defective products Directive do not apply
- Problem?
 - App providing false/incomplete information on allergens
 - App providing wrong information on medicine not to be taken during pregnancy



eHealth inducement prize: Food Scanner ("best-in-class" contest)

- > Awarded to the most significant development towards a portable prototype
- To enable citizens to <u>detect nutrition facts and</u> <u>allergens</u> in <u>several types of food</u> using a <u>non-invasive and non-destructive approach</u>
- ➤ To be launched early 2015

For more information: <u>CNECT-HEALTH-PRIZE@ec.europa.eu</u>



Thank you!

Claudia.Prettner@ec.europa.eu

Website: <u>http://bit.ly/EUeHealth</u> Newsletter: <u>http://bit.ly/eHealthinFocus</u>

Disclaimer: This presentation only represents the views of its author and cannot be seen as binding the Commission in any way.