EFA PATIENTS' DIGITAL PRIZE 2023 - Evaluation grid

https://efanet.org/inform/patient-evidence/dig-it/prize

I. Accessibility	Score
1. The technology allows the patient to manage aspects of asthma or COPD digitally	0
2. The technology is affordable	0
3. The technology was developed integrating input from the patients, from the very	0
beginning of the design process. The technology is designed to respond effectively to	
patients' needs	
TOTAL Accessibility	0
II. Partnership with healthcare professionals	Score
4. The technology allows a close partnership with healthcare professionals	0
5. The technology connects, and it is accessible, to the multidisciplinary team that is caring	0
for the asthma and/or COPD patient	
TOTAL Partnership	0
III. Convenience	Score
6. The technology allows the patient to have faster access to diagnosis, personalised	0
treatment and/or care	0
7. The technology operates under a principle of "minimum burden" (adapted to different	0
levels of digital literacy and various times of distress) 8. The technology fosters continuity of care	0
9. The technology improves chronic disease management and aims at enhancing patients'	0
Quality of Life	U
TOTAL Convenience	0
IV. Support and Security	Score
10. The technology offers "lay resources" that explain clearly the role, functioning and	0
results of the digital tool	
11. The technology provides easy to understand terms and conditions of use for data	0
sharing	
12. The patient is in control of the data and can easily access, transfer, review, and revoke the data (i.e. clear opt-ins, privacy, transparency)	0
TOTAL Support and Security	0
V. Prevention	Score
13. The technology not only monitors patient symptoms and disease flares, but also	0
informs the patient about external and environmental factors affecting asthma and COPD	U
TOTAL Prevention	0
VI. Equity	Score
14. The technology helps the patient to get access to best quality care by fostering digital	0
mobility and transferability across European borders	
TOTAL Equity	0
GRAND TOTAL	
	0

SCORE GRID

- **0 (Poor)** The technology fails to address the criterion or cannot be assessed due to missing or incomplete information.
- **1 (Very weak)** The criterion is inadequately addressed or there are serious inherent weaknesses.
- 2 (Fair) The technology broadly addresses the criterion, but there are significant weaknesses.
- **3 (Good)** The technology addresses the criterion well, but a number of shortcomings are present.
- **4 (Very good)** The technology addresses the criterion very well, but a small number of shortcomings are present.
- **5 (Excellent)** The technology successfully addresses all relevant aspects of the criterion. Any shortcomings are minor.